Call to Action!

As a matter of necessity, please read the attached document:

NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR ACTION TO SECURE A WRIT OF QUO WARRANTO

Which document was served by mail upon the United States Attorney General on, December 21, 2012.

Now, please understand the effect of such a document:

- 1. "Quo Warranto" is a Latin phrase that essentially asks the question: "By what authority?"
- 2. The right of Quo Warranto is an original remedial right of the people (see: the 9th Amendment).
- 3. The right of Quo Warranto is unavoidable when properly applied at law as follows:
 - a. Anyone can raise a Quo Warranto challenge to the Attorney General (hereinafter "AG");
 - b. Once so raised, the AG has the right to choose to either prosecute the matter or not;
 - c. If the AG chooses not to prosecute, the party raising the cause retains the right to prosecute as an independent prosecutor for the AG's office; with the full authority of the AG's office to do so;
 - d. The prosecutor merely raises the contest in court, the Defendant must then prove Quo Warranto does not apply or the only remedy available to the court is, issue a Writ of Quo Warranto for removal from office.
- 4. Because this case is brought against Barrack Obama's candidacy, contesting that he does not lawfully qualify as Candidate for the Office of U.S. President, he cannot have been lawfully elected to the President Elect's Office;
- 5. Which means, Barrack Obama will be compelled to prove that he qualifies as a "natural born Citizen" in accord with the Constitution's requirement at Article 2, § 1, clause 5; and,
- 6. Regardless of Obama's birthplace, the Supreme Court has consistently indicated the constitutional phrase, "natural born Citizen", is a child naturally born of parents both of whom are citizens of the United States.

Therefore, given the fact that Barrack Obama's birth certificate (posted on the White House website) shows his father was not a U.S. citizen, Barrack Obama cannot qualify as a candidate for the office of U.S. President Elect; respectively, this contest would remove Obama both from his candidacy and the office of President Elect.

Wherefore, the 2012 election of the President of the United States must revert to Mitt Romney; quite frankly, Mitt Romney has the proper personal interest in the elected office to bring such an action himself, without contest and the people should be contacting him to inspire him *fight for his election by doing so*!

When this action hits the court it requires the court to take immediate action to hear the matter. Congress is now in session reviewing the election. The Senate can even take this action on advisement to impeach Obama immediately and therein open a review of his candidacy in this last election. This action must be in the courts before January 20th!

As you consider your support of this Call to Action:

Remember the things Obama claimed and promised in his campaign and in the Presidential debates this year and notice he has already proven his deception since the election; as with his announcement of a 30% across the board tax increase. Also, notice that immediately after the election companies (*like Hostess, etc.*) closed their doors rather than continue against the threat of "Obamacare"; while others fired (*or cut the weekly work schedules to under 28 hrs/wk for*) their fulltime employees, to avoid the effect of Obamacare. These effects (and more) have clearly changed the mindset of virtually all Americans and if the election were held today Obama could not have won.

Respectively, though no contest can remove this action from proceeding, the cause itself needs support from the people in mass! Wherefore, we are sending out this Call to Action, asking the people to spread this news to everyone you know; asking everyone to do all they can to **stop Obama now!**

- 1. Read this Call to Action with its attached NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR ACTION; then,
- 2. Call everyone you know and inspire them to do the same; also,
- 3. Send a copy of this Call to Action with its attachment; to everyone you know; also,
- 4. Post it on your websites, Facebook accounts, etc. and send it to all political and media services, especially to specific people like: Glenn Beck, Rush Limbaugh, Bill O'Reilly, Ron Paul, Mitt Romney, etc.
- 5. Then, instead of sending this Call to Action, send your own cover letter attached to the NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR ACTION to all of the Congressmen for your State and to the Attorney General asking them to immediately compel the action forward or give leave to Zane Grey so to proceed; in order to give the people of our nation some hope for a more positive future; to avoid the clamor for secession and to the further destruction of our economy.

Given the fact that Obama's candidacy remains in question by most of the people, now there is something you can do about it; Zane Grey has opened that door; let's give him the support he needs to carry it through. It is time to take action—Now! See the following attached pages! From: Zane Grey

To: Eric H. Holder, Jr., as the, United States Attorney General 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR ACTION TO SECURE A WRIT OF QUO WARRANTO

December 17, 2012

Dear Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General:

As a matter of law this request and complaint is to be given precedence over all other actions or matters with which you are involved other than similar (Quo Warranto based) actions which may have been filed prior to this request and complaint.

By these presents, I require your immediate attention to this complaint, which I bring to request that you either take immediate appropriate actions to secure a Quo Warranto Writ or timely (within 3 days) respond to this request by informing me that you do not desire to proceed with such an action; in which case, in accord with my constitutionally secured rights (see at least: 9th Article of Amendment), and in accord with the law regarding such matters, I expect you to acknowledge my right to proceed with such an action, in the Senate and appropriate courts as an independent prosecutor with the full authority of your Office, with the intent of securing an appropriate Quo Warranto hearing and remedy on this matter; which is brought to you in accord with the following facts and law:

This matter concerns the fact that the President Elect, Barack Hussein Obama, Jr. (hereinafter "the President Elect"), does not constitutionally qualify as a candidate for said office.

Respectively, I contest that in accord with the Constitution of the United States, at Article 2, § 1, clause 5, which provides: "No Person except a natural born Citizen...shall be eligible to the Office of President", the President Elect does not lawfully qualify either as a candidate, or as a President Elect, for said Office because, regardless of where the proposed candidate was born, his father is not (and was not at the time of the President Elect's birth) a citizen of the United States; which parental citizenship, in accord with the law of nations, is a required element of "a natural born Citizen".

The framers of the Constitution referred to relied on <u>THE LAW OF NATIONS OR PRINCIPLES OF</u> <u>THE LAW OF NATURE</u>, by Emmerich de Vattel (*Joseph Chitty ed., Philadelphia, T. & J.W. Johnson & Co. 1867 (1758)*) as the "Law of Nations"; so much so that they directly referenced it in the Constitution, at Article 1, § 8, clause 10, when they granted Congress the authority: "To define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offences against the Law of Nations." Respectively, the Supreme Court wrote: "The law of nations may be considered of three kinds, to wit, general, conventional, or customary. The first is universal, or established by the general consent of mankind, and binds all nations. The second is founded on express consent, and is not universal, and only binds those nations that have assented to it. The third is founded on tacit consent; and is only obligatory on those nations who have adopted it. *Ware v. Hylton, 3 U.S. (3 Dall.) 199, 227 (1796)*. Vattel carefully distinguished the positive law of nations from the natural, or necessary, law of nations and in <u>*The Law of Nations*, at Chapter 19, so clearly defined the elements necessary to "a natural born Citizen" as:</u>

"§ 212. *Citizens and natives*. The members of a civil society are its citizens. Bound to that society by certain duties and subject to its authority, they share equally in the advantages it offers. Its *natives* are those who are born in the country of parents who are citizens. As the society can not maintain and perpetuate itself except by the children of its citizens, these children naturally take on the status of their fathers and enter upon all the latter's rights. The society is presumed to desire this as the necessary means of its self-preservation, and it is justly to be inferred that each citizen, upon entering into the society, reserves to his children the right to be members of it. The country of a father is therefore that of his children, and they become true citizens by their mere tacit consent. We shall see presently whether, when arrived at the age of reason, they may renounce their right and the duty they owe to the society in which they are born. I repeat that in order to belong to a country

one must be born there of a father who is a citizen; for if one is born of foreign parents, that land will only be the place of one's birth, and not one's country.

"§ 213. *Residents*, as distinguished from citizens, are aliens who are permitted to take up a permanent abode in the country. Being bound to the society by reason of their dwelling in it, they are subject to its laws so long as they remain there, and, being protected by it, they must defend it, although they do not enjoy all the rights of citizens. They have only certain privileges which the law, or custom, gives them. *Permanent residents* are those who have been given the right of perpetual residence. They are a sort of citizens of a less privileged character, and are subject to the society without enjoying all its advantages. Their children succeed to their status; for the right of perpetual residence given them by the State passes to their children."

Further, the Supreme Court consistently followed the definition provided in said Law of Nations when it ruled on related matters; as we see in Shanks vs. Dupont; 28 US 242 (1830):

"If she was not of age, then she might well be deemed under the circumstances of this case to hold the citizenship of her father; for children born in a country, continuing while under age in the family of the father, partake of his national character, as a citizen of that country."

Also, in Dred Scott vs. Sandford; 60 US 393 (1857), Justice Daniel cited and quoted directly from Vattel's Law of Nations (*supra*) as follows:

"The citizens are the members of the civil society; bound to this society by certain duties, and subject to its authority, they equally participate in its advantages. The natives, or natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens."

Respectively, it is unmistakable the constitutional term "natural born citizen", requires (as noted above) of all parties that qualify as candidates for the office of President of the United States, must have, by definition, been born of parents [that is: both a mother and a father] that are both citizens of the United States at the time of the candidate's birth;

Therefore, because Barack Hussein Obama, Sr. (the alleged father of the President Elect) was born in Nyang' Oma Kogelo, Kenya, Africa (a British Protectorate) in 1936, who died November 24, 1982 in Nairobi, Africa having never been a United States citizen, the President Elect is not a natural born citizen of the United States;

However, the President Elect is instead a citizen of Great Britain; which British citizenship is confirmed by the British Nationality Act of 1948, at Part II, § 5, which respectively states:

"Subject to the provisions of this section, a person born after the commencement of this Act shall be a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies by descent if his father is a citizen of the United Kingdom and Colonies at the time of the birth."

Further, given that, as a prior U.S. Senator, the President Elect cosponsored the 110th Congress' Senate Resolution 511, which resolved that:

"Whereas John Sidney McCain, III, was born to American citizens on an American military base in the Panama Canal Zone in 1936: Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That John Sidney McCain, III, is a 'natural born Citizen' under the Article II Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States."

It appears that the President Elect knew or should have known that he did not so qualify for the office of President of the United States.

I, and many other actual citizens are alarmed and appalled that this matter of the President Elect's candidacy has remained unresolved despite the massive amount of attention and concern it has received in the media. Respectively, the peace and dignity of the People of the United States of America demands that this matter be resolved. Wherefore, believing that this matter has not been heretofore raised before a proper challenge before either the Senate or the Supreme Court, I have made this request of you now and demand that a proper action in the nature of the people's right to a Quo Warranto hearing be held to

compel the President Elect to prove his alleged status as a "natural born Citizen" or be ousted from office as the "President Elect" in accord with his failure to do so.

Respectively, I must be notified immediately about your decision, whether you desire to prosecute this case; so, I can take whatever steps are necessary to timely compel this action to fruition.

This issue must be placed to the top of your actions and you must respond to me, ASAP. I will have handed this Notice and Demand for an action in the nature of Quo Warranto to your personnel and I will be awaiting your timely response.

If you, or your assigns, decline to take action in this matter I will proceed according to law and therefore I need your response immediately.

Any delay in your response will be considered a decline of you choice to bring this action; and, due to the timely nature required to prevent seating an unqualified President Elect, any delay may imply collusion on your part.

Therefore, your immediate response is necessary,

Further, you have the right to decline from proceeding; however, by doing so, you waive forever any rights you may have had to proceed in this case and you are required to hold this action and its proceeding in confidence.

If you have any reasonable requirement for additional time to make your decision, you may request that time from me immediately, in writing. Failure to do so constitutes decline of your options in this matter as heretofore mentioned.

Respectfully submitted,

Zane Grey, a natural born Citizen of the United States of America.